

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In re** : **Chapter 11 Case No.**  
: **LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*,** : **08-13555 (JMP)**  
: **Debtors.** : **(Jointly Administered)**  
-----X

**SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER GRANTING THE TWO HUNDRED NINETEENTH  
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (VALUED DERIVATIVE CLAIMS)**

Upon the two hundred nineteenth omnibus objection to claims, dated October 12, 2011 (the “Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims”),<sup>1</sup> of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 6664] (the “Procedures Order”), seeking to reduce and allow the Valued Derivative Claims on the basis that the amounts listed on the proofs of claim are greater than the fair, accurate, and reasonable values determined by the Debtors after a review of the claimants’ supporting documentation and Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.’s (“LBSF”) books and records, as more fully described in the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims.

Claims having been provided to (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9653]; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and upon the resolution of the Response filed by Yakima-Tieton Irrigation District [Docket No. 21851]; and the relief sought in the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of LBSF, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that each Valued Derivative Claim listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto is hereby modified and allowed in the amount set forth on Exhibit 1 under the column heading "Modified Amount" and any asserted amount in excess of the modified amount are disallowed; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to any claim listed on Exhibit A to the Two Hundred Nineteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims that does not appear on Exhibit 1

annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine  
all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: New York, New York  
April 27, 2012

s/ James M. Peck  
HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE